

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**FILED**  
**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

2008 JUL 21 PM 12:11  
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

*This space for  
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Judge John A. Houston  
FROM: J. Hinkle, Deputy Clerk BY: [Signature] RECEIVED DATE: 7/14/08  
CASE NO.: 08cv1033-BTM(AJB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Pla Alvin Hennington Jr  
CASE TITLE: Hennington v. Federal Bureau of Investigation  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex-Parte Application

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		<u>OTHER: No proof of service. Not in pleading format. Also, not dated.</u>

Date forwarded: 7/15/08

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

Dated: 7/18/08  
cc: All Parties

CHAMBERS OF: Houston  
By: [Signature]

**REJECTED**

MC-025

SHORT TITLE:

Ex-Parte

CASE NUMBER:

08CV1033BTMAJB

Ethics Violations

ATTACHMENT (Number): Ex-Parte

Page 1 of 1

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

1  
2  
3  
4 Ex-Parte Application to  
5 Judge John A. Houston  
6  
7  
8 Charges Ethics Violations and  
9  
10 Defence Attorney misconduct  
11  
12  
13 28 §518, 528, 5 §730 Int, Eon 12674  
14  
15 Government Ethics office 5 App.  
16 4 §402, Misconduct, 5 App. 3 §8 E:  
17  
18 ~~Rep~~ <sup>A.H.</sup> Respectfully Request  
19  
20 Attorneys for defence Be Dismissed  
21  
22 from case Numbers 08CV0883-  
23  
24 WQH ATB, "08CV1033BTMAJB.

25 From Plaintiff Alvin, Hennington JR.  
26  
27 Alvin Hennington Jr.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)